```
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1340830-0
Total Deleted Page(s) = 183
Page 3 ~ b1; b3;
Page 6 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 7 \sim b1; b3;
Page 8 ~ b1; b3;
Page 9 ~ b1; b3;
Page 10 ~ b1; b3;
Page 11 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 12 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 13 ~ b1; b3;
Page 14 ~ b1; b3;
Page 16 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 17 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 18 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 19 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 20 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 21 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 22 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 25 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 26 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 27 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 28 ~ b1; b3;
Page 29 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 30 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 31 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 32 \sim b7D;
Page 33 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 34 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 35 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 36 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 37 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 38 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 39 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 40 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 41 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 42 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 43 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 44 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 45 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 46 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 47 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 48 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 49 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 50 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 51 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 52 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 53 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 54 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 55 ~ Referral/Direct;
```

```
Page 56 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 57 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 58 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 59 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 60 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 61 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 62 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 63 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 64 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 65 ~ b1; b3; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 67 ~ b3;
Page 68 ~ b3;
Page 69 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 70 ~ b3;
Page 71 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 73 \sim b3;
Page 74 ~ b1; b3; b7E; Referral/Consult;
Page 78 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 82 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 87 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 88 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 89 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 90 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 91 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 92 ~ b1; b3;
Page 93 ~ b1; b3;
Page 94 ~ b1; b3;
Page 95 ~ b1; b3;
Page 99 ~ b1; b3;
Page 100 ~ b1; b3;
Page 105 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 106 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 109 ~ b1; b3;
Page 110 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 111 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 112 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 113 ~ b1; b3;
Page 116 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 117 ~ b1; b3;
Page 118 ~ b1; b3;
Page 122 ~ b3;
Page 123 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 125 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 126 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 127 ~ b1; b3;
Page 128 ~ b1; b3;
Page 129 ~ b3;
Page 131 ~ b1; b3;
Page 132 ~ b3; b7D; Referral/Direct;
Page 133 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 144 ~ b1; b3;
Page 145 ~ b3;
Page 146 ~ b1; b3;
Page 147 ~ b1; b3;
```

```
Page 157 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 158 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 159 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 160 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 161 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 162 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 163 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 164 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 165 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 166 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 167 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 168 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 169 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 170 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 171 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 172 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 173 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 177 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 178 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 179 \sim b3; b7D;
Page 180 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 181 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 182 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 183 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 184 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 185 \sim b3; b7D;
Page 186 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 187 \sim b3; b7D;
Page 188 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 189 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 190 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 191 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 192 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 193 \sim b3; b7D;
Page 194 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 195 ~ b1; b3; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 196 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 197 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 198 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 199 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 200 ~ b1; b3;
Page 201 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 202 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 203 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 204 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 205 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 206 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 207 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 208 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 209 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 210 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 211 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 212 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 213 ~ Referral/Direct;
```

```
Page 214 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 215 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 216 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 217 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 218 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 219 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 220 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 221 ~ b1; b3;
Page 222 ~ b1; b3;
Page 223 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 224 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 225 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 226 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 227 ~ b3;
Page 228 ~ b3;
Page 231 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 232 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 233 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 234 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 235 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 236 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 237 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 238 ~ b1; b3;
Page 239 ~ b1; b3;
Page 240 ~ b1; b3;
Page 241 ~ b1; b3;
Page 242 ~ b1; b3;
```




```
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1211520-0
Total Deleted Page(s) = 183
Page 3 ~ b1; b3;
Page 6 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 7 ~ b1; b3;
Page 8 ~ b1; b3;
Page 9 ~ b1; b3;
Page 10 ~ b1; b3;
Page 11 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 12 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 13 ~ b1; b3;
Page 14 ~ b1; b3;
Page 16 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 17 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 18 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 19 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 20 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 21 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 21 ~ b1; b7D;
Page 25 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 26 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 27 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 28 ~ b1; b3;
Page 29 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 30 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 31 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 32 ~ b7D;
Page 33 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 34 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 35 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 36 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 37 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 38 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 39 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 40 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 41 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 42 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 43 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 44 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 45 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 46 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 47 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 48 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 49 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 50 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 51 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 52 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 53 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 54 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 55 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 56 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 57 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 58 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 59 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 60 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 61 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 62 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 63 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 64 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 65 ~ b1; b3; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 67 ~ b3;
```

```
Page 68 ~ b3;
Page 69 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 70 ~ b3;
Page 71 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 73 ~ b3;
Page 74 ~ b1; b3; b7E; Referral/Consult;
Page 78 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 82 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 87 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 88 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 89 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 90 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 91 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 92 ~ b1; b3;
Page 93 ~ b1; b3;
Page 94 ~ b1; b3;
Page 95 ~ b1; b3;
Page 99 ~ b1; b3;
Page 100 \sim b1; b3;
Page 105 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 106 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 109 ~ b1; b3;
Page 110 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 111 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 112 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 113 ~ b1; b3;
Page 116 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 117 ~ b1; b3;
Page 118 ~ b1; b3;
Page 122 ~ b3;
Page 122 ~ b3;
Page 123 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 125 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 126 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 127 ~ b1; b3;
Page 128 ~ b1; b3;
Page 129 ~ b3;
Page 131 ~ b1; b3;
Page 132 ~ b3; b7D; Referral/Direct;
Page 133 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 144 ~ b1; b3;
Page 145 ~ b3;
Page 146 ~ b1; b3;
Page 147 ~ b1; b3;
Page 157 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 158 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 159 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 160 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 161 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 162 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 163 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 164 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 165 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 166 ~ b3; b7D;
                      b7D;
Page 167 ~ b3;
Page 168 ~ b3;
                       b7D;
Page 169 ~ b3;
                      b7D;
Page 170 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 171 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 172 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 173 ~ b3;
Page 177 ~ b3;
                      b7D;
                      b7D;
Page 178 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 179 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 180 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 181 ~ b3; b7D;
```

```
Page 182 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 183 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 184 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 185 ~ b3;
                    b7D;
Page 186 ~ b3;
Page 187 ~ b3;
                    b7D;
                    b7D;
Page 188 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 189 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 190 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 191 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 192 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 193 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 194 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 195 ~ b1; b3; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 196 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 197 ~ Referra]/Direct;
Page 198 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 199 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 200 ~ b1; b3;
Page 201 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 202 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 203 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 204 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 205 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 206 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 207 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 208 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 209 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 210 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 211 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 212 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 213 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 214 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 215 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 216 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 217 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 218 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 219 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 220 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 221 ~ b1; b3;
Page 221 ~ b1; b3;
Page 222 ~ b1; b3;
Page 223 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 224 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 225 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 226 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 227 ~ b3;
Page 228 ~ b3;
Page 231 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 232 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 233 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 234 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 235 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 236 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 237 ~ b3; b7D;
Page 238 ~ b1; b3;
Page 239 ~ b1; b3;
Page 240 ~ b1; b3;
Page 241 ~ b1; b3;
Page 242 ~ b1; b3;
                                                  Deleted Page(s)
                                                        No Duplication Fee X
                                                        For this Page
```

- DAG Rogers - AAC Tompkins - Mr. Poardman - Mr. Pelmont 1 - Liaisen Section May 5, 1956. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL $1 - M^2$. മാർ. 1 - Tellow Director, FBI USE OF IT SYMBOLS IN CONCEALING INFORMATION RECRIVED FROM OTHER 368,682 GOVERNMENT INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES Reference is made to my memorandum dated April 7, 1955, concerning captioned matter, wherein you were advised that inquiries have recently been made of several agencies to determine if they are .. desircus of continuing the practice of using T symbols in reports of an intelligence or personnel-type matter prepared by the Bureau. You were advised that Army and Navy Intelligence Referral/Consult and the Civil Service Commission have no objection to dispensing with the use of a T symbol to report their respective agencies, where information is taken from official files for official purposes. b3 Referenced memorandum pointed out that you would be advised upon receipt of information from the Office of Special Investigations, U.S. Air Force, and the State Department, as to their preference in this matter. the state of the s AL-COPY-FILED-IN Referral/Consult Boardman Nichola Belmont Glavin. F/:jlf Harbo_ (9)Rosen er mace Tamm. Tracv Mohr He Weld offices, a well co-NCTE: Appropriate instruction Winterrowd. margal thanges are in the process of being prepared. Tele, Room____ Holloman. Miss Gandy_ 63 MAY 12 1920



Referral/Consult

Appropriate instructions are being forwarded to the Bureau's field effices in order that subsequent Eureau reports, containing information received from the above-mentioned agencies, will be reported in accordance with their wishes.

- 1 Mr. William P. Rogers Deputy Attorney General
- 1 Absistant Attorney General William F. Tompkins

COUFFERTIAL



SPEREI

DATE OF MAIL 4- 21-55

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. LAMPHERE TO BE KEPT PERMANENTLY IN HIS OFFICE, ROOM 1736.

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

Classified by red pholomes
Declaseiny on: OADR 1/31/90

SUBJECT JUNE MAIL JUNE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE.

REMOVED BY 39 MAY 10 1955!

FILE NUMBER 62 - 80750 - V

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT



ятаноало Росусно, **ў**4 Office Memorandum UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DATE: May 11, 1955 MR. A. H. BELMONT Belmont MR. R. R. ROACH FROM Parsons Referral/Consult Rosen subject: Hollóman Reference is made to the meeting scheduled for 10:30 A.M. on May 12, 1955, between the Director and Referral/Consult b3 INDEXED-61 62-80750 MAY 18 1955 1.41 - Mr. Holloman - Mr. Belmont - Liaison Section 1 - Mr. Papich

Referral/Consult b3

Memo to Mr. Belmont from Mr. Roach

	The abou	e informo	ition c	oncernin	$g \bigsqcup_{i \in I} g$	71	1
was	orally f	urnished	to Mr.	Hollowa	in by the	Liaison	Agent.
ACTION:	-		-	-		-	
with regar	rd to the		ed <u>meet</u>	changes ing for	in the 1 May 12.	Director's 1955, th	s plans e

Me with it

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT UR. A. H. BELMONT DATE: May 11, 1955 Harbo . Mohr . FROM : MR. R. R. ROACH Parsons Rosen Tamm SUBJECT: Winterrowd Tele. Room Holloman . Gandy Set forth for possible future reference is information concerning This data was developed by the Liaison Agent. The "Washington Evening Star" occasionally carries a column on the society page entitled "Diplomatically Speaking" authored by Selwa Roosevelt. She is the wife of KermitiRoosevelt. grandson of ex-President Theodore Roosevelt. b3 RECORDED 83
This is for information. ACTION: INDEXED - 83 E9 MAY 13 1955 INFORMATION CONTAINED
EIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EYOPD ALM/LMf - Mr. Nichols 1 - Mr. Belmont . . I'- Liaison Section 1 - Mr. Papich 71 MAY 197955

WR. L. V. BOARDHAN DA STATES GOVERNMENT STANDARD PORM NO. 64 ice Memorandum • TO FROM UR. A. H. BEZMO Rosen Tamm SUBJECT: ENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY Tele. Ro SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION Holloma OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. ACLARK Reference is made to General Mark Clark's request for investigation of certain individuals. By memorandum dated May 17, 1955, I advised that General Clark had requested that the investigations be discontinued for the time being. b1 b3 On May 16, 1955, Agent Papich was in contact with 88575 3 9 Z FILED UNIRECORDED COPY RECORDED = 17 ACTION: INDEXED-17 MAY 20 1955 your information. None - For Mr. Boardman - Mr. Belmont - Mr. Nichols - Mr. Rosen - Mr. Stanley Liaison Section 1 - Mr. Papich

Office Memorandum • united states government

TO MR. L. V. BOARDMAN

DATE: May 19, 1955

MR. A. H. BELMONT

Nohr Parsons Rosen Sizoo Winterrowd

Tele, Rec

Holloman

62

FILED

Gandy .

Belmont Harbo

SUBJECT: CENTRAL TWEELLIGENCE AGENCY (-GIA), SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION

OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CLARK BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK

On the afternoon of May 18, 1955, Agent Papich received a telephonic request from the office of Allen Dulles to meet with the Director of CIA on "very important business." Papich met with Dulles at 6:40 p.m. on May 18, 1955.

Dulles stated that his subordinates had been informing him concerning the investigations which had been requested of the Bureau by General Mark Clark. Dulles stated that he thought that he had all of the pertinent facts but that he would appreciate hearing from the liaison Agent exactly what had been happening. Papich then advised Dulles that on May 13, 1955, the Bureau received a letter from General Mark Clark requesting that investigations be initiated concerning several individuals who were listed on Clark's communication. informed that Clark asked that investigations be directed for the purpose of checking the character, reputation and loyalty of each. Dulles was advised that General Clark did not furnish any information other than the names and that upon inquiry, the Clark Task Force advised that there was no other information to be furnished. Papich advised Dulles that upon receipt of the list, steps were taken by the Bureau to locate identifying data which was most necessary if intelligence investigations were to be initiated. He was told that in this connection, CIA was contacted inasmuch as some of the individuals appeared to have had past for present connection with CIA. Dulles was then told that during these preliminary steps, the Bureau realized that some problems could arise in the event that complete and thorough investigations were conducted. It was even

anticipated by the Bureau that CIA could possibly voice some

(7), office of the Nichols Mr. Boardman Mr. Belmont

Mr. Rosen

Liaison Section Mr. Papich

AY 26 1955

& X363,697,125 1

Dulles was informed that as a result of foregoing

10 MAY 20 1955

SJP:ojk

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont May 19, 1955

preliminary evaluation, General Clark was contacted on May 17, 1955, at which time Clark was informed of potential problems which might arise. Dulles was told that after listening to the Bureau representative, Clark asked that the request for investigations be withdrawn for the time being. Dulles was advised by Papich that the investigations have been discontinued by the Bureau and that no further action would be taken pending receipt of word from General Clark.

-				k
•) a			
a a	7			
) 'Z 1
•				

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont May 19, 1955 b3 K ACTION:

For your information.

None.

SUBJECT:

Office Memorandum • United States Governmen

FROM ?

MR. L. V. BOARDMAN

DATE: May 13, 1955

Parsons

Tele. Room Holloman

MR. A. H. PE.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA)

SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION 6

OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK

Reference is made to the memorandum from Mr. Roach to me dated May 13, 1955, in which it was reported that General Mark Clark indicated to the Director on May 12, 1955, that he would request the Bureau to conduct investigations concerning certain

individuals. Clark was informed by the Director that the investigations would be completed within two weeks. Mr. Roach advised that the Liaison Agent would follow this matter very closely with the Clark task force.

At 2:15 P.M. on May 13, 1955, Liaison Agent Papich contacted General James George Christiansen, head of the working committee of the Clark task force, at which time Christiansen stated that he was in the process of preparing a list of names of individuals who were to be investigated by the Bureau. The Agent waited approximately one hour at which time Christiansen made available the enclosed communication dated May 13, 1955. letter sets out the names of the individuals concerning whom General Clark desires that investigations be conducted.

The list was reviewed by the Liaison Agent in the presence of General Christiansen and many of the names are identical with those which appeared on a list furnished by the Clark committee to the Bureau in January, 1955. At that time the Clark committee submitted a list and requested that the files of the Bureau be checked. That particular request was described in my memorandum to you dated January 21, 1955, captioned as above.

! It should be noted that there is no identifying data furnished with the names listed in the enclosed communication. This was called to the attention of General Christiansen. stated that as far as he knew, the Clark committee did not posses 62-80750

Enclosure

Mr. Nichol's

Mr. Boardman Mr. Belmont

ซีซีฟี Section

- Mr. Papich

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

any other identifying data. According to Christiansen, the individuals listed in the enclosed communication were names furnished to General Clark by Senator Joseph McCarthy. The Wash J. W. Senator allegedly had derogatory information concerning these individuals. Christiansen was not aware of the nature of the derogatory information; according to him, the Clark committee does not have possession of such data. He advised that he would make checks of the committee's files in order to definitely ascertain if there is any additional information concerning the individuals listed which might be of assistance to the Bureau. The Liaison Agent explained to General Christiansen that it was most important that the Bureau have adequate identifying data if investigations were to be conducted.

The Liaison Agent explained to General Christiansen that it should be clearly understood that when investigations are initiated by the Bureau the employing agencies would undoubtedly become aware of the investigations. The Bureau, therefore, could anticipate that queries would be made of the Bureau by the agencies. General Christiansen conferred with General Clark concerning this matter and then advised Agent Papich that as far as General Clark was concerned interested agencies could be advised by the Bureau that investigations had been instituted. Christiansen further stated that the agencies could be informed that the investigations were being instituted at the request of General Mark Clark. He also added that copies of the reports of investigation conducted by the Bureau could be furnished to the interested agencies.

		k			
	•				
1					
•					

With regard to the nature of the investigations to be conducted, General Christiansen asked that the investigations be

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

directed for the purpose of determining the individual's character, reputation and loyalty. He emphasized the committee was particularly interested in ascertaining if any of the individuals have ever been engaged in any subversive activities.


ACTION:

- 1. General Mark Clark's request for investigations to be conducted is being referred to the Investigative Division for expeditious handling.
- 2. The Liaison Agent is following this matter closely for the purpose of obtaining additional identifying data concerning the individuals to be investigated from the Clark committee.
- 3. Mr. Nichols is discreetly endeavoring to obtain additional identifying data concerning the individuals through appropriate contacts.
- 4. Inasmuch as employing agencies undoubtedly will become aware of the Bureau's investigations, with your approval, such agencies will be advised by the Bureau through liaison channels that investigations were initiated by the Bureau upon the request of General Mark Clark. The agencies will be advised that copies of the Bureau's investigative reports will be furnished to them.

5. As soon as identifying background information is developed, these investigations will be ordered on basis of completing them in two weeks.

Winterword has been

mitruited to give cases top priority 213-They must her !



ACTION:


The above information is being furnished for the purpose of informing the Employees Security Section concerning the most recent developments in the instant case.

De Dullan an amster alar hastamp detter, to should take them a with Sen. Clarks. Al

ahead unleds, Jew. Hat

with how a his reques

STANOTIFO POKE NO. 64



DATE: May 17, 1955

MR. L. V. BOARDMAN

MR. A. H. BELLYONT

FROM :

SUBJECT: CENTRAL INTERLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA) SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION

OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK

Reference is made to my memorandum dated May 17, 1955, concerning an interview held by Agent Papich with General James George Christiansen, head of the working committee of the Clark task force. As reflected in the memorandum, Papich was to meet with General Mark Clark at 1:15 P.M., on May 17, 1955.

Papich met General Clark at the National Airport. General Christiansen was present at the meeting. Papich briefed General Clark along the same lines followed in the interview which was described in my referenced memorandum. General Clark then stated that he had not realized that the investigations requested of the Bureau would involve such potential problems

He asked the Liaison Agent for personal advice on the matter and the General was informed that the decision for requesting and continuing the investigations was a matter for General Clark and his committee. It was emphasized to General Clark that the Bureau definitely was not going to shirk any responsibility but the Bureau desired to do a complete and thorough job. He was advised that if the Bureau were to conduct complete investigations, the Bureau naturally would be expecting the cooperation of interested agencies, such as the CIA. General Clark was informed that if objections arose on the part of CIA, he should realize that the Clark task force could not separate itself from such a situation. General Clark was advised that he personally is very much involved in the developments date.

General Clark very obviously was at a loss as to what, After some delay, he advised Agent Papich to withhold any further, investigation. He stated that he planned to contact

SJP:f:jb

1 - Mr. Boardman

1 - Mr. Belmont

1 - Mr. Nichols 1 - Mr. Rosen Didina Section

MAY 23 1955

3

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

the Director and that he might also talk to President Eisenhower. Agent Papich asked General Clark when he planned to contact the Director, to which the General replied that he was returning to The Citadel this afternoon (5-17-55) and that he did not know when he would return to Washington, D.C. Papich advised General Clark that under the circumstances the Bureau would not take any further action in connection with the request pending word from the General. He replied, "Fine."

ACTION:

1. If you approve, interested field offices will be instructed to discontinue the investigations which were initiated in connection with the instant matter.

Rosen is preparing consultation teletypes 45-

Referral/Consult

far, will be in for the time b	formed that the	the only tw investigati	ons have	s involvèd so been discontinued 5/18/55:
15. S.	Dadursed	√·	ok.	CIA advised fless above. Dog b3
N	2/2 -		K	2000 b3

- 2 - 5717

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : MR. L. V. BOARDMAN FROM : MR. A. H. BEIM

DATE: May 17, 1955

lichols Belmont -1 Harbo ..

Mohr . Parsons

Rosen. Tamm'

Winterrowd Tele. Room

Holloman

SUBJECT: CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA)

SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT

SURVEY OF CIA, BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK

Pursuant to the instructions of Mr. Nichols, Liaison Agent Papich contacted General James George Christiansen, head of the working committee of the Clark task force, on May 17, 1955.

Papich advised General Christiansen that since the receipt of General Clark's request for investigation's of several individuals, the Bureau had initiated investigations; in this connection it first had become necessary to collect identifying data concerning the people involved. It was pointed out to General Christiansen that the Bureau had received on In names with no other data. He was informed by the Liaison Agent that the Bureau had been in contact with the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) inasmuch as the CIA appeared to be in possession of information which could be of assistance to the Bureau. Herwas told that the CIA was making information available but CIA's cooperation was actually of a reluctant nature.

Papich then informed Christiansen that the investigations which have been initiated have led to some preliminary developments which are of a highly significant nature definitely worthy of General Clark's immediate attention.

Papich stated to Christiansen that the Bureau did not wish to get involved in a token type of investigation and that if a job were to be done, the Buneau would do at complete and thorough investigation.

HEREIN IS WORDED - BA 63 - 807

MATERIAL IN IS WORDED - BA 63 - 807

MATERIAL IS WORDED - BA 63 - 807

MATERIAL IN IS WORDED - BA 63 - 807

MATERIAL IN ISSUE IN INCLASSIFIED - BY MED SJP:fjb

- 1 Mr. Board Agn 1 Mr. Belmont 127MAY 24 1955
- 1 Mr. Belmont 9 10 11 1 Mr. Nichols p. 000 \$150 BY SPARUM /IMA
- Mr. Rosen 1 - Liaison Section

50 MAY-25 1959 Papich

b3

b7D

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

b3 b7D

Would take more than two weeks and would require the cooperation of CIA. Christiansen's attention was directed to the fact that CIA had already manifested some reluctance and that it could be anticipated that if the Bureau embarked upon an investigation involving interviews of CIA employees, examination of records, etc., the Director of CIA could be expected to raise objections. Papich advised Christiansen that all of this was being directed to his attention so that General Clark would have a clear understanding of the situation. He was informed that if the Bureau continued with any extensive investigation of a nature described above, the Bureau, of course, would continue to maintain the position that the investigation was being conducted at the request of General Clark.

General Christiansen first stated that he was most appreciative of the Bureau's cooperation in that he was contacted at this stage. He stated that after General Clark received the list from Senator McCarthy, he and General Clark conferred as to what action should be taken. It was finally resolved that it would be satisfactory to submit the names to the FBI for a review of its files. After this was done, General Clark was satisfied. week General Clark conferred with General Christiansen and other assistants concerning the final preparations of the report covering the results of the survey conducted by the task force. Senator McCarthy's list came up for discussion and General Clark took the position that the task force report actually would not be complete unless the task force could go on record that the names had been referred to the FBI for investigation. General Christiansen stated that he disagreed with General Clark's viewpoint and he maintained that the committee was in no position to go any further other than to submit the names for a check of the Bureau's files. Christiansen advised that this was a disagreement between him and General Clark and that he was furnishing it to the Liaison Agent on a confidential basis. He then stated that General Clark was arriving at the National Airport in Washington, D.C., at 1:15 P.M., May 17, 1955, to attend the funeral of General Summerall, former Army Chief of Staff. He asked if the Liaison Agent could meet with him and General Clark at the Airport at which time the Liaison Agent could brief General Clark concerning the above-described developments.

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

For your information, throughout the entire interview with General Christiansen, Agent Papich took the stand that the Bureau was not shirking any responsibilities whatsoever; that the Bureau wished to do a good job and a good job only, and that if we were to go ahead with the investigations, it was a decision that was to be made by General Clark.

ACTION:

If you approve, Agent Papich will meet General Clark this afternoon and brief him along the same lines followed in the interview with General Christiansen this morning (5-17-55).

Property of

New Y

Tice Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DATE: May 14, 1955 Mr. A. Rose Mr. C. H. Stanley VCENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA) SUBJECT: SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION Holloman OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT Y SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK Central Intelligence Agency Reference is made to memorandum from Mr. Roach to Mr. Belmont dated May 13, 1955, and memorandum from Mr. Belmont to Mr. Boardman of the same date concerning the request of General Mark W. Clark for investigation of certain individuals apparently connected with CIA in some capacity. Referenced memorandum to Mr. Belmont indicated that on the afternoon of May 13, 1955, General James Christiansen, head of the Working Committee of the Clark Tash Force, made available to Liaison Agent Papich a list of the individuals whom General Clark desired investiwas also furnished (The additional name of to Liaison Agent Papich on May 14, 1955.) This list contained absolutely no identifying data but merely names, in most instances only the first and last name. These, according to Christiansen, are names furnished to General Clark by Senator Joseph McCarthy. The names, as furnished, are being checked through the Bureau's files at the present time; however, due to the complete lack of identifying data, in many instances it is not possible to definitely identify these individuals with information in our files. It is also not known whether these persons are employed by CIA at the present time, either overtly or covertly, or whether they are employed in the Government at all. example, one of the names is and a search Another name is of Rimeau files has failed to reveal any identifying data. Another name In view of the lack of identifying data, plus the fact that it is not known whether these individuals are employed by CIA, and if so, whether they are in a covert capacity or whether they are in fact employed in the Government at all, Liaison Agent Papich has contacted officials of the CIA on May 14, 1955, for the purpose of obtaining pertinent data regarding these individuals. Mr. Papich is making arrangements with CIA, to have all pertinent information from CIA files obtained and made available to Agents MAY 26 1955 CHS:rmb Now

of our Washington Field Office as soon as possible. Washington Field is being instructed to contact on Monday. Any information which might make it undesirable to conduct investigation in view of the confidential capacity in which these people are serving will be made known to the Bureau.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that we hold in abeyance the initiation of these investigations until additional pertinent data is received from the CIA along with their advice as to whether an investigation by the Bureau would jeopardize any considential operation of the CIA. In the event any of these persons are employed by other Government agencies, appropriate information will be obtained from such agencies.

Although we conducting all practicable file searches based on the information which we have at this time.

vantellander om delete tol month omfalete miestigetiers of these asses in 2 medas

Mr. Tolson Mr. Boardmak Mr. Nichols M Mr. Belmont Mr. Harbo Mr. Mohr Mr. Parsons Mr. Rosen Mr. Tamm. Mr. Sizoo ___ spyin Hoover Comme Mr. Winterrowd Tele. Room. Mr. Holloman . Miss Gandy (YNTELLIGENCE INQUIRY) A SPECIAL HOOVER COMMISSION TASK FORCE HEADED BY GEN. MARK W. CLARK HAS COMPLETED AN EXHAUSTIVE INVESTIGATION OF HIGH-LEVEL U.S. INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS AROUND THE WORLD. LT WAS LEARNED. THE SPECIAL INQUIRY BOARD WILL MAKE FAR-REACHING RECOMMENDATIONS. MOST OF THEM SECRET, TO IMPROVE THE GATHERING, PROCESSING AND DISSEMINATION OF VITAL INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION OF MANY AGENCIES, INCLUDING THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY. IT IS EXPECTED TO CRITICIZE OVER-LAPPING OF SOME ACTIVITIES AND IN MANY CASES TO RECOMMEND CLOSE COORDINATION.

THERE IS EVIDENCE THE INQUIRY IS REGARDED BY THOSE WHO SHARED IN IT AS ANYTHING BUT A "WHITEWASH." THE TASK FORCE EXPECTS TO SUBMIT TWO REPORTS TO THE COMMISSION HEADED BY FORMER PRESIDENT HOOVER.

UNDER PRESENT PLANS, A BULKY REPORT OF THE OVERALL INVESTIGATION IS TO BE DELIVERED TO PRESIDENT EISENHOWER. THIS WILL BE SO HIGHLY CLASSIFIED ONLY ONE COPY WILL BE MADE THE SECOND REPORT WILL BE GLEANED FROM THE FIRST. IT WILL GO CUNGRESS, WHICH AUTHORIZED THE INQUIRY, AND PRESUMABLY WILL FORM THE BASIS FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OF SOME INFORMATION. THIS DATA WOULD THE BASIS FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OF SOME INFORMATION. IT WILL GO TO THIS DATA WOULD BE DEEMED USELESS TO UNFRIENDLY POWERS.

SOME INDICATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY IS SHOW IN THE WAY THE TASK FORCE FINISHED ITS WORK. IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT MOST IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT MOST. NOT ALL, RECORDS ACCUMULATED ARE BEING DESTROYED. PROCEDURES ALSO SUGGEST THAT THE INVESTIGATION AS MADE AS INDEPENDENTLY AS POSSIBLE FROM THE VARIOUS OPERATING INTELLIGECNE AGENCIES, MR. HOOVER SELECTED GEN. CLARK, RETIRED FROM THE ARMY AND NOW PRESIDENT OF THE CITADEL MILITARY COLLEGE, TO HEAD THE TASK FORCE. LATER, THE FORMER PRESIDENT REVEALED THAT THE STUDY WOULD CUT ACROSS "OTHER MAJOR INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES OF THE GOVERNMENT."

ALR FORCE, AND THE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY UNDER SCRUTINY. 5/21 = N51 OPG = -80750 - 17 NOT RECORDED 126 MAY 26 1955 washington city news service

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Boardman _
Mr. Nichols ____
Mr. Belmont ___
Mr. Harbo ____
Mr. Mohr ____
Mr. Parsons ___
Mr. Rosen ____
Mr. Tamm ____

Mr. Rosen _____ Mr. Tamm ____ Mr. Sizoo Mr. Winterrowd

Tele. Room __ Mr. Holloman . Miss Gandy __

SEN. JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY (R-WIS.), A FREQUENT CRITIC OF THE CIA, IS BELIEVED TO HAVE TURNED SOME INFORMATION OVER TO CLARK.

MCCARTHY'S REPEATED THREATS IN 1953 AND 1954 TO INVESTIGATE CIA ARE BELIEVED TO HAVE HAD SOME BEARING ON START OF THE HOOVER INQUIRY.

MR. EISENHOWER ALSO. RECEIVED A SPECIAL REPORT ON CIA'S OPERATIONS LAST OCT. 10 FROM LT. GEN. JAMES H. DOOLITTLE, THE NOTED FLIER.


DOOLITTLE AND A SMALL GROUP OF HELPERS HAD BEEN ASKED BY THE PRESIDENT TO LOOK INTO THE "SENSITIVE ASPECTS" OF CIA. DOOLITTLE SUBMITTED A GENERALLY FAVORABLE REPORT BUT SAID THERE WERE "IMPORTANT ARES IN WHICH THE CIA ORGANIZATION, ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATIONS CAN AND SHOULD BE IMPROVED."

IN ADDITION TO CLARK, OTHER INTELLIGENCE TASK FORCE MEMBERS WERE EDDIE RICKENBACKER, FLIER-HERO OF BOTH WORLD WARS AND PRESIDENT OF EASTERN AIRLINES; ADM. RICHARD LANSING CONOLLY, PRESIDENT OF LONG EASTERN AIRLINES; ADM. RICHARD LANSING CONOLLY, PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND ERNEST FREDERICK HOLLINGS.

ADD: 1 INTELLIGENCE INQUIRY (510P)

WASHINGTON CITY NEWS SERVICE

Page 2 —





May 25, 1955

DIRECTOR, FBI

b3 b7D

I wanted to call to your attention information which came to the Bureau on a very confidential basis from

LBN:arm (4),

Cover memorandum to Mr. Tolson from L. B. Nichols May 25, 1955, LBN:arm

COMM E FBI MAY 2 6 1955 州山州

RECORDED - 10

INDEXED - 10

Why 23 6 25 PM 354 FE

21. MAY 27 1955.

59 JUN 1 1955

Nichols Belmont Harbo Mohr' Parsons Rosen .. Tamm Sizoo Winterrowd Tele. Room

Holloman . Gandy,

Tolson Boardman .

Office Memorandum STATES GOVERNMENT

Mr. Tolson , /vlgv TO

L. B. Nichola

DATE: May 23, 1955

SUBJECT:

FROM

I have been advised that over the weekend in view of the publicity on General Mark Clark's winding up his survey of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), Senator McCarthy sent him a polite but emphatic letter inquiring as to the status of Clark's inquiry of CIA and whether he had checked into the thirteen names which McCarthy had furnished Clark

and pointing out that the Senator would be glad to talk to Clark.

IJ Es lilan cc: Mr. Boardman Mr. Belmont

g 631,1 12

LBN:arm (4)

11 MAY 26_1955

UNITED STATES GOVERNMEN

MR. A. H. BELMONT

DATE: May 9, 1955

MR. R. R. ROACH

Tamm

SUBJECT: CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CLA) SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION

OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK

62 - 80750-2567

Reference is made to my memorandum dated May 4, 1955, advising that General Mark Clark had inquired if the Director could meet with him and his assistants on either May 12, 13 or 14, 1955. The Director's notation on the referenced memorandum was "Make it for 10:30 A.M. May 12, I will meet them at their offices if that is General Clark's desire. H."

On May, 9, 1955, General James George Christiansen, head of the working committee of the Clark task force, advised Liaison Agent Papich that the above date and time for the meeting would be very satisfactory. He stated that General Clark would like to have the meeting in his office, Room 4850, General Accounting Office Building. General Christiansen expressed appreciation for the Director's willingness to come to General Clark's office.

The General Accounting Office Building is bounded by H Street, G Street, Fourth Street and Fifth Street. MGeneral Clark's offices are readily accessible by entering the Building on H Street. The Building has automatic elevators.

ACTION:

If you approve, Liaison Agent Papich will meet the Director at the H Street entrance of the General Accounting Office Building on May 12, 1955.

1 - Mr. Holloman

1 - Mr. Belmont

1 - Liaison Section 1 - Mr: Papich

RECORDED-74

EX-118

fice Memorandum • united states government DATE: 5/19/55 : The Director G. A. Nease UBJECT: C.I.A. bronstal Tele. Room Holloman Gendy Yesterday I was confidentially informed that Senator Chavez, who is Chairman of the Committee on the Department of Defense of the Senate Appropriations Committee, has been thoroughly briefed on the requested C.I.A. appropriations for the coming year. This briefing was performed by one of Chavez's appointees who I know to be very much opposed to the activities of C.I.A. Chavez has indicated very definitely that he will approve no more supergrades for that agency. It will be recalled that they had hoped to bring their total supergrades up to b3 I shall endeavor to secure further information later on as to the outcome of their appropriations GAN: jla (2)JUN 1 -1955 By JUN 7

STANDATED PORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

MR. A. H. BELMONT

DATE: February'25, 1955

MR. R. R. ROACH FROM :

SUBJECT: CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA),
SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W CLARK

Parsons Rosen. Tamm Tele. Room Holloman

Belmont Harbo, Mohr.

SYNOPSIS:

General Mark/Clark, head of task force surveying-Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) operations, indicated on February 18, 1955, that he would be seeking an interview with the Director in about two weeks. Selective items in very summarized form have been prepared for Director's assistance if he meets with Clark. Items are of a nature which might be brought up for discussion by Clark or they are types of items which Director-may wish to use for comments. Material is similar to that prepared for General James Doolittle when he met with Director on October 6, 1954. Clark indicated he might bring up names of CIA officials; Liaison Agent is following to ascentain identities.

ACTION:

The Liaison Agent will immediately report the receipt of the request of General Clark to meet with the Director.

The Liaison Agent is also following with the Clark committee in order to obtain the identities of individuals whom Tank may possibly introduce in discussion with Director.

RECORDED 48

INDEXED-48

p JUN 1 .1955

(6)

1 - Mr. Boardman ALL INFORMATION TO A PARTICIPAL AND A PROPERTY OF A STREET OF A STRE DI-126- Mr. Holloman 1 - Liaison Section

62 -

50 JUN 9 - 195

Memo to Mr. Belmont from Mr. Roach

DETAILS:

Reference is made to my memorandum of February 21, 1955. I reported that General Mark Clark, head of the task force which is surveying Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) operations, had stated that in about two weeks he would be seeking an interview with the Director. I advised that a brief was being prepared for the Director's assistance if General Clark called on him. General Clark indicated that he would relay the request to see the Director through the Liaison Agent. General Clark also indicated that if he met with the Director he might have some names of individuals in CIA regarding whom he might like to have Mr. Hoover make some observations.

The Liaison Agent has asked Clark's staff to make the names of such individuals available, if such names are to be brought up in any discussion contemplated by Clark.

Set forth herewith are items which may be introduced for discussion by General Clark or they may be items concerning which the Director may wish to volunteer comments to General Clark. These items are being presented in a very summarized form, and for the most part consist of material prepared for the Director for his meeting with General James Doolittle held on October 6, 1954.

CIA Security:

With regard to names of CIA employees which Clark might introduce in a discussion, he undoubtedly will be referring to people who have been controversial because of their security background. In the event that such names come up, it would appear that all we could do is refer Clark to investigations, if they have been conducted by us. Clark can be informed that the CIA is an organization which has thousands of employees. Some have been investigated by the Bureau as applicants for CIA employment. *(Bureau has not investigated CIA applicants since 1951.) Other have been investigated by us under the provisions of the loyalty program. There are thousands of CIA employees who have never been investigated by us. In many of these investigations which we conducted we did develop derogatory information. We are not familiar with the interworkings of CIA and we do not know what disposition has been made of all of the cases. We do know that CIA's employment standards differ from ours. We know CIA has employed individuals with backgrounds which would make them unacceptable for Bureau employment.

		RD FORM NO. 64	М Л	Q,	100	TUIL!	0		•	· •
	Uj	ffice 1	VLemo	randun		UTED ST	CATES G	OVERN	IMEN	T
1	то	:	MI	Tolson	gen -	•	DÄTE:	May 25,	1955	Tolson Boardmah Nichols Belmont Harbo
	FROM	v), r	L.	B. Nichols		ALL INFO	RMATUY	COLTAI	NED.	Mohr Parsons Rosen Tamm'
	នបាន្យា	ect:				HEREIN I DATE	S UNULIAS	STIPED 31	b7D	Sizoo Winterrowd Tele. Room Holloman Gandy
	Γ									1 with
				o an incident th he had me			d word on	a few da	ys 1	aposth
			He	then in the	strictest	of confidén	ce related	thát		Marken
										North on
										6/6
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							b3 b7D
	1						•			
									-	b7D
				g. To						- III - Za.
										b3 b3 b7D
		well to		view of the ttached mer					t migh	- 12
		Enclosu LBN:år: (2)		2 3-25	V-55-V	1 20	# 25	1719 1719	50-	
	DC	JU!!7	1955	(1)	TOD	RECORDED INDEXED-	-90 62 -90 97M	/ 1 JÜN		3
					TUP 3	COULT		٠.,	- 37	

FD-36 FD-36 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGA Wir. Belanont Nr. Harbo Nr. Harbo Nr. Hohr
Mr. Parsons
FBI, MIAMI 5/19/55 TIME AIRTEL Mr. Tamm Mr. Sizoo
Transmit the following Teletype message to: Tele. Room Tele. Room
DIRECTOR, FBI b3 lift it it in the man in
ReMMLet 5/9/55.
On advised SA
that he had received information from
possessed no further details. b3
b6
.b7C .b7D
This information submitted for Bureau's advice.
ALL INFORMATION
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED GARRAGE DATE 9/9/9
UALE 9/6/6 LIGOTHEU
2 cc: Legat, Havana (via Bureau)
Just De la Constitución de la co
Mr. Bolmont
PECORDED-42
55 JUN 9 1955
Approved: EX-112 Sent M Per
Special Agent in Charge

ETANDARD FÖRM NO. 64 Office Memorandum "ALL INFORMATION POT UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT REIM, IS UNULASSIFIED Tolson. MR. L. V. BOARDMAN Boardman 1955 Jung 1, Nichols . Belmont Ha #327645 Harbo Mohr FROM : MR. A. H. BE Rosen Sizoo SUBJECT: Winterrowd CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA) SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK Referral/Consult # Mr. Nichols recommended that General Clark be furnished the highlights of the information received from the confidential source. This was approved with the instructions that General Clark be briefed on the occasion of his next visit to Washington, D.C. secretary to General Clark, On May 31, 1955, advised Liaison Agent Papich that she did not know when General Clark was coming to Washington, D.C., but she would keep the b7C Liaison Agent informed. ACTION: The Liaison Agent will continue to maintain contact with General Clark's office, Washington, D.C., in order to make arrangements for a meeting with General Clark so that he can be furnished the highlights of the information received by Mr. Nichols from a confidential source. or Hustins In connection with the proposed dissemination of the information to General Clark, attention is again directed to the

-8075 p- 2605 RECORDED = 83 Mr. Nichols IS JUN 7 1955 - Mr. Boardman 5 3 16 Nun A Beiling nt INDEXED - 83 Ltdison Section 1 - Mr. Papich

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

b3

À.

probability that CIA will become cognizant of any information furnished by us to General Clark

Pursua lhis jurther

Me

VP18

EINNOARD FORM HO. 64 Office Memorandum UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ALL INFORMATIE: June 1, 1955
HEREIN IS UNION CONTAINED

DATES IN STREET OF CONTAINED

BY SO Mr. Tolson TO Pero \$369,692 BY SPORMING L. B. Nichols FROM CIA SURVEY BY SUBJECT: GENERAL MARK CLARK Larry Richey called to advise that he had informed Mr. Herberts Hoover of our conversation with reference to General Clark's request for us to make the 13 investigations of CIA employees; that he told the Chief that a bird-dog check reflected that this would involve inquiry into projects, investigation in Europe and the Far East and that, obviously, since Clark had asked us to hold up on the investigations we would not undertake any action in the absence of a directive. Larry stated that Mr. Hoover agreed that this was absolutely correct; that since Mark Clark had left this as unfinished business, Herbert Hoover called Sherman Adams, advised him of the Mark Clark request, of Clark's subsequent action in asking that the investigations be held up when it was ascertained that they would involve extensive inquiry and advised Adams that the Hoover Commission would not pursue the matter further and that if the White House wanted anything done that the Intelligence White House should act accordingly. This was agreeable apparently to Adams and Richey, therefore, stated we should do nothing further so far as Mark Clark's request was concerned and that if the White House wanted us to make the investigation, he assumed the White House would advise us in due time. cc - Mr. Boardman Gentra1 cc - Mr. Belmont LBN:ptm 3 3E bH ,22

Orig & dup. - AG 1 - Rogers (DAG) yěllow Mr. Nichols - Mr. Boardman Mr. Belmont THE ATTORNEY GENERAL June 0, 1955 Liaison Section Mr. Papich CENTRAL INTELLICIECE AGENCY (CIA) SUNVEY BY THE COLLISCION ON CHARLATION OF THE EXECUTIVE DRANCH OF THE COVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK V. CLARK

Reference is add to my memorandum dated Lay 19, 1955, and to my conversation with you on Lay 25, 1955.

directon, mi

RECORDED - 83

INDEXED - 83

Iou will recall that General Kark V. Clark had requested this Eureau on Lay 13, 1955, to initiate investigations of several individuals who allegedly were connected with the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). I cavised you that on Kay 17, 1955. Géneral Clark asked that the investications be discontinued. This action was taken by Ceneral Clark after he was informed that based upon prelininary information developed the investigations very likely would be of an extensive nature and that objections could be anticipated from CIA. General Clark was informed by this Bureau on Lay 17, 1955, that no further investigative action would be taken in the absence of any request from him.

In. Larry Richey of the Roover Connission has advised that the matter of the request for investigations of the individuals allegedly connected with CIA LCA been Firected to the attention of Lr. Kerbert Loover by General Clark. Atchey stated that Ur. Hoover has become apprised mpf the fact that Ceneral Clark had originally requested the FBI to conduct investigations and that the investigations had been discontinued at the request of General Class for Sthe reasons described above:

Ur Alichen has been advised by us that the FII would not undertake any further thiesticative action in this natter in the absence of a directive. The FBI's position. was hade known to line Loover who agreed that this Mureau's 🔩 position pasitheclutely corrects According to Itis Richau

Referral/Consult

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

Tracy. Mohr. Winterrowd Tele. Room

Tolson. Boardmai

JACET

Referral/Consult

	in Richard ton furt	her zdvised that opver Connibsion
vould not nursie t	he natter further	

The above is being furnished to you in order to report the most recent developments relative to the captioned matters.

i - Er. William P. Dogers Deputy Attorney General

1.

Crynes

Office Memorandum . UNITED STATES GOVERNMEN Mr. Tolson DATE: May 31, 1955 TO FROM L. B. Nichols Rosen Tamm Sizoo SUBJECT: SURVEY OF CLA BY GENERAL MARK CLARK Larry Richey called by reference from the Director's Office today. ' He stated that Mark Clark has submitted his final report on CIA to Herbert Hoover; that General Christiansen advised Herbert Hoover that they had requested the Bureau to investigate 13 individuals and it was felt it could be done in two weeks; however, they were now advised that it would take three, four or five months; that Mark Clark was leaving it up to the Commission as to whether the investigation should be conducted and if conducted, to whom should the reports be submitted. Herbert Hoover felt that if we were making the investigation we should submit the reports directly to the White House. mi me sant Intelligence b3 I told Richey that the facts of the matter were these; namely, that when the Director appeared before the group a request was made of the Director to investigate 13 individuals; that subsequently the names were furnished without identifying data; that as a result of a bird dog inquiry that we felt dutybound to go back to General Mark Clark and tell him exactly what was involved and be governed by his decision; that this information was communicated first to Christiansen and then to Clark. Clark took the position that we should not proceed any further with the request for the investigations; that Clark would give the matter some thought and perhaps talk to either the Director or perhaps the President. As the matter now stands we are making no investigation of the 13 individuals and that naturally we would not make an investigation until we had the specific directive RECORDED-92 : 62-80750 Richey stated he thought we were dead right and h Mr. Herbert Hoover. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN'IS UNCLASSIFIED cc - Mr. Boardman DATE 7-12-9 9BY 60267 cc - Mr. Holloman Per.OGA LBN:ptm (4)

STANDARD FORMING, 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

ro : UR. L. V. BOARDMAN

DATE: June 2, 1955

Tolson Boardm

Mohr

Parsons Rosen -Tamm'-

Tele. Room

Holloman

'n

Z

b3

Gandy -

FROM : MR. A. H. BELLONT

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA)
SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION
OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT
SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK

Reference is made to a memorandum dated May 31, 1955, from Mr. Nichols to Mr. Tolson concerning a conversation held on May 31, 1955, between Mr. Nichols and Larry Richey of the Hoover Commission relative to investigations which had been requested by General Mark Clark. Richey informed Mr. Nichols that according to General Christiansen of the Clark task force, the Bureau had been requested by General Clark to investigate thirteen individuals and it was felt that the investigations could be done in two weeks. General Christiansen had advised Herbert Hoover that subsequent to the request the Clark task force had been advised that investigations would take three, four or five months. With regard to the statement that the investigations would take three, four or five months, the Director made the notation "Did we say this? H." The Director also noted as follows: "Liaison should straighten Gen. Christiansen would as "Liaison should straighten Gen.

The Liaison Agent was unable to locate General Christiansen on June 1, 1955. On the morning of June 2, 1955, secretary to General Clark, advised Liaison Agent Papich that General Christiansen had already terminated his assignment with the task force but he possibly could be contacted at his home: Papich telephonically contacted General Christiansen and arrangements were made for an interview at 1:45 P.M., June 2, 1955, in the offices of the Clark task force at the General Accounting Office Building. Also present at the time was Colonel John Dubbelde, who has been serving as an assistant to General Christiansen.

Papich advised General Christiansen concerning the statements which he, Christiansen, allegedly had made to Herbert Hoover relative to the requested investigation of thirteen

1 - Mr. Nichols Gess And May -1 - Mr. Boardman

6 JUNIA Belmont L - Mr. Papich EX-100

RECORDED-92

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

THEREIN IS THICLASSIFIED

TO SATE 1:12 SO BY LOSSONS TO PA

62-80750-2608

Maj

WRECORDED COPY FILED

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

individuals. General Christiansen was told that based upon statements allegedly made by General Christiansen, an impression may have been left with Herbert Hoover and Larry Richey of the latter's office that investigation had not been instituted on the thirteen individuals because the Bureau had advised that the investigations would take three, four or five months rather than two weeks. It was explained to General Christiansen that such an impression, of course, was erroneous and the Liaison Agent wanted to make certain that there was not any misunderstanding with General Clark or any members of his staff and the Bureau felt that it was most important that Herbert Hoover and his assistants not be left with the understanding that the Bureau held up any investigations. Papich directed General Christiansen's attention to interviews held with him and General Clark on May 17, He was reminded that Papich had made it very clear on May 17, 1955, that under no circumstances would the Bureau desire to leave an impression with anyone that the Bureau was shirking a responsibility. On May 17, 1955, Papich informed both Clark and Christiansen that the Bureau had received information of a preliminary nature indicating that investigation of the thirteen individuals might be of an extensive nature in that it very likelu could become necessaru to

Clark and Christiansen were told that such an investigation would take more than two weeks; the Liaison Agent did not indicate whether the investigations could be completed in any specific time.

Papich advised General Christiansen that the Bureau was concerned about the impression which may have been left with the Hoover Commission because, if true, Herbert Hoover was being left with the understanding that investigations of the thirteen individuals were not handled because the Bureau could not complete the investigations within two weeks. Christiansen was told that this, of course, did not present a true picture of what had actually taken place on May 17, 1955. General Christiansen was reminded that the main purpose of contacting him and General Clark on May 17, 1955, was/to advise that the investigations very likely would exceed two weeks but rather to emphasize that strong objections could be anticipated from CIA once we embarked on investigations which undoubtedly would be of an extensive nature. Christiansen's attention was directed to the fact that Papich had made it clear that if objections arose, the Clark task force could not separate itself from situations which might develop. (These

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

points were covered in two memoranda each dated May 17, 1955, describing interviews of Liaison Agent Papich with Generals Christiansen and Clark held on May 17, 1955.)

General Christiansen stated that the impression which has been conveyed to the Bureau obviously was not a picture of the true situation and he personally did not understand why the He then stated that after the situation had become confused. Liaison Agent spoke to him and General Clark a letter was sent to Herbert Hoover by General Clark making reference to the list of thirteen individuals. In his letter, General Clark advised that based upon information received by the Bureau it appeared that the investigations would take much more time than two weeks and that, furthermore, the investigations could develop to a point where strong objections could arise from CIA. letter, General Clark advised that since the task force was disbanding it was not feasible for the group to follow through with any investigations which might be conducted. Clark then went on record that he was furnishing the list with all information received concerning the individuals to the Hoover Commission for disposition. In the same letter, General Clark advised that he had requested the FBI to discontinue its investigations and not to take any further action in the absence of a request either from General Clark or Herbert Hoover.

General Christiansen advised that subsequent to the transmittal of the letter, he personally participated in a conference in the hotel room of Herbert Hoover. One of the individuals present at this conference was Larry Richey. At that time, the matter of the thirteen individuals was discussed with Herbert Hoover. General Christiansen stated that he briefed Mr. Hoover concerning the matter stating that the Bureau had been requested to conduct investigations of thirteen individuals and that General Clark had asked that the investigations be discontinued when it was realized that strong objections might arise from CIA. General Christiansen stated that at the time of the conference he expressed the opinion to Herbert Hoover that the task force was in no position to go any further and that based upon information received so far, it appeared that the agency involved (in this case CIA) should be responsible for conducting any necessary investigations. General Christiansen emphasized that at no time did he or members of his staff make any statements which would reflect that the Bureau was in any way shirking a responsibility or that the investigations were

Memo to Mr. Boardman from Mr. Belmont

not initiated just because it would take more than two weeks to do the job. Christiansen advised that he regretted very much if such an impression was left with anybody and that he personally intended to speak to Mr. Richey in order to make certain that there was no misunderstanding. b6

General Christiansen advised that his assignment with b70 the Clark task force had officially been completed. He stated that Colonel Dubbelde and secretary to General Clark, were remaining in the offices for another week or so. He stated that the reports have already been submitted to Herbert Hoover. One report of a sensitive nature will go to the President and another report will eventually be publicized.


Agent Papich took the opportunity to inquire what disposition was to be made of reports and memoranda which had been given to the Clark task force concerning various individuals. Several months ago the Bureau handled name check requests for General Clark at which time it was agreed that upon the completion of the task force assignment Bureau documents would be returned. General Christiansen stated that this matter had been discussed with General Clark and Herbert Hoover. He advised that since there were unanswered questions relative to various individuals allegedly connected with CIA, it was recommended to Herbert Hoover that the questions be resolved in some way rather than being "buried." Herbert Hoover thereupon instructed that all pertinent documents relative to the individuals be forwarded to the White House for appropriate disposition. Christiansen stated that the Bureau reports and memoranda were given to Colonel Goodpaster of the White House on June 1, 1955. It is Christiansen's understanding that it will be the responsibility of the White House to determine what future action may be taken concerning the thirteen individuals.

Colonel Dubbelde volunteered the comment that he was glad the task force was disbanding. He stated that the report which will receive publicity will undoubtedly create some "fireworks." Immediately thereafter he stated that people like Senator McCarthy very likely will raise some issues.

ACTION:

None. For your information.

1-82 MM



STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Office Memorandum • united states government b3 Mr. A. H. Belmon \mathcal{D}_{l} b7D DATE: May 26, 1955 TO Nichols Belmont Harbo CLASSIFIED Mohr . R. R. Roach FROM DECLASSIFY O Parsons €46m° SUBJECT: Winterrowd Tele, Room INFORMATION CONCERNING 812 Holloman . Reference is made to the strictly confidential memorandum from b3 Mr. Nichols to Mr. Tolson dated May 20, 1955, advising that b6 called Mr. Nichols to relate that he had received information that b7C b7D (S)(V) advised Liaison On May 25, 1955, Agent John F. Sullivan that revealed that the source of his information was Further inquiry as to whether he had ever heard any similar information previously, elicited a reply from Further inquiryb3 failed to elicit any additional facts or any information It should be noted, however, Adiscreet inquiry of General Schow, Acting Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2 concerning the above, revealed that G-2 had no information bearing on this situation. RECORDED - 98 62-80750 INDEXED - 98 RECOMMENDATION: 6 JUN 9 1955 For your information. ALU INFORMATION CONTAINED L SHUWN 1 - Mr. Belmont EXCERT 1 - Mr. Nichols 1 - Mr. Norstrom 1 - Mr. Papich 1 - Liaison Section 1 - Mr. Sullivan

ffice Memorandum UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

MR. L. V. B OARDMAN

DATE: June 9, 1955

Boardman .

Nichols . Belmont 1 Harbo

Parsons Rosen Tamm' Sizoo

Winterrowd.

Tele. Room _

Holloman

Gandv

FROM MR. A. H. BELLO

SUBJECT:

ENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA) SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK

In January, 1955, General Mark Clark furnished the Bure a list of names with the request that the Bureau files be reviewed for derogatory information. Most of the individuals listed had a past or present connection with the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). The Bureau subsequently furnished General Clark information which was submitted in the form of reports and memoranda. time of the dissemination the Clark task force agreed that upon the completion of the task force's assignment the documents would be returned to the Bureau. By memorandum dated June 2, 1955, captioned as above, I advised that in accordance with instructions of Herbert Hoover, the Bureau material had been sent by the task force to Sherman Adams of the White House.

General James George Christiansen of the task force advised that it was his understanding that the White House was assuming the responsibility concerning any action which might be initiated in the future relative to the individuals identified in the documents. General Christiansen advised that the task force. no longer possesses any information disseminated by the Bureau as a result of the request which had been submitted by General Clark.

ACTION:

The Bureau material disseminated to the Clark task force is now in the possession of the Executive Branch of the Government, namely, the White House. Therefore, if you approve, no attempt will be made to obtain the material from the White House. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

- Mr. Boardman

1 - Liaison Section RECORDED -1 - Mr. Papich

9 JUN 15 1955

DATE 5-3-99 BY 602620

() JUN 22 195

Orig & dupl DAG Rogers iellow - Ur. Nichols - Mr. Boardman Mr. Belmont Kay 10, 1955 THE ATTOINEY GEVERAL - Mr. Rosen - Liaison Section DIRECTOR, FDI 1 - Mr. Papich CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE ACEUCY (CIA) THE ELECUTIVE ENABOR OF THE COVERNMENT 36869

15 SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK V. CLARE STREET

15 ORDED 1 2-80750-216 111 OF THE EXECUTIVE ENABOR OF THE COVERNMENT 368-692 By letter dated May 13, 1955, Ceneral James George Vark W. Clark task force which has been surveying Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) operations, requestions requestions. Phristiansen, head of the working committee of the General Dureau initiate investigations of the individuals identified in the enclosed list. General Christiansen cdvised that the request was made in bahalf of General Lark Clark and he informed a representative of this Dureau that the investigations should be directed for the purpose of determining each individual's character, reputation and loyalty. General Christiansen only furnished names and adviced that the task force did not possess any other information. This Eureau immediately initiated a review of its files for the purpose of developing the necessary identifying data and contact 63 was also nade with the CIA. That Agency was contacted inasmuch as many of the individuals listed are known to the CIA because ar reat or present employment or connection. See Seeles Jose Lupe To prolintary steps PARTIALLY de-Indeped. ig obtain additional identifying data, infortallong received this Bureau from a confidential source In view of this development, Ceneral Claim Boarda contacted by a representative of this Dureau on Kay 17, 1955, Nichelt et which time he was informed concerning, the afore-mentioned Belmo Glavin Harbo. Cover memo Belmont to Boardman

developments. It was pointed out to General Clark that this Dureau was only interested in conducting a complete and thorough investigation and that this Eureau would expect cooperation from interested agencies, such as CIA. Lite attention was directed to the possibility that the CIA would raise attention was directed to cerning an investigation of CIA employees and operations. Concret Clark was informed that if adjections arose, he and his staff could not coparate thenselven from the struction. Clark indicated that he apparently sid not realize the potential inplications when he submitted the request for investigations. After Listening to this Burgau's representative, Coneral Clark cavised on Eay 17, 1965, that this Burgau should withhold any further investigation of the individuals listed. Be stated that he planned to contact no and that he night also talk to Prosident Essenhovers Le stated that he was leaving Washington, D.C., on Eay 17, 1950, and that he did not know when he would returns Ceneral Clark was advised that under the circumstances the FUI would not take any further action in connection with the request pending word from hime For your information, all investigations requested were discontinued by this Bureau on Lay 17, 1955. b3

A representative of this Bureau has been confidentially

the essence of information which was recently volunteered to this Eureau by a confidential source

The information to very reported to you us furnished by the source who does not wish to be identified and whose reliability is unknown. The information in not being

BECH

b3

b7D

disseminated to the CIA; the confidential source requested that the data furnished by him not be disseminated outside of this Bureau. The information was furnished to this Bureau on a confidential basis for lead purposes and the reliability of the information cannot be rouched for.

Inasmuch as General Clark has withdrawn his request for investigations, we do not contemplate taking any further action on the basis of this information unless advised to the contrary.

The highlights of the allegations set forth in the attached memorandum will, however, be furnished to General Clark upon the occasion of his next trip to Washington.

1 - Mr. William P. Rogers
Deputy Attorney General
(With enclosure)

SE RET

STÄKKAUD FORM NO. 64		
7	Memorandum • v	NITED STATES GOVERNMENT
TO :	Mr. Tolson	DATE: May 13, 1955 Tolson Boardman with 18 Tolson Boardman
FROM :	L. B. Nichols	John John John John John John John John
SUBJECT:		Sizoo Winterrowd Tele-Room Holloman
requiring afternoon we did r	the list of names which Generally investigation, Don Surine has on of May 13th. I asked him for have such data.	Belmont's request for identifying all Clark has furnished to the Bureau ppened to drop by my office on the late. The liquid Agency without checking his notes, the precise for the precise for the bureau ppened to drop by my office on the late. The liquid agency without checking his notes, the precise for the precise
	would have to check his notes	Surine would want to check b3
· !	his notes for further details.	
· [an co	
	Surine would w	rant to check his notes.
	RECORDED - 15	62-80750-261H

Memo for Mr. Tolson from L. B. Nichols

Surine told me in confidence that these are the cases on which McCarthy has a documented record. In some instances they have documents, which are probably restricted. In each instance they have confidential informants who, in the event of a showdown, would testify as witnesses. Senator McCarthy, however, declined to furnish information to Mark Clark as he did not want/to get back to CIA. Surine stated that he would get anything we wanted. I told him that obviously if we were making an investigation, it might be necessary to call our reports to the attention of other agencies; that we certainly would like to have all the help we could get, whereupon, Surine stated if desired he could get together his material and we could use it for lead purposes attributing it to a confidential source.

I think we should start out on this basis and Surine is to come by my office Monday morning on another matter. I will give him the list of the names and tell him to get together his material. I think in this way we would have a pretty good line on what Senator McCarthy has to start out with and we can always go back to him if we need to.

2. See that at least Home Sumahing the way by Summe doesn't by Summe doesn't by back to Ca.

Orig & dupl - AG Rogers Mr. Nichols - Mr. Boardman - Mr. Belmont - Mr. Rosen - Liaison Section 1 - Mr. Papich Lay 19, 1955 368,692 DECLASSIFIED BY 1626/11/ DEWOGA CENTIAL INTELLIGENCE ACCHOY (CIA) SURVEY DY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE DEANGH OF THE GOVERNMENT LERVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK Set forth is information furnished to this Duredu by a confidential source of unknown reliability who does not with that his identity be disclosed. b3 b7D b3 b7D b3 b7D Boardman Nichols. Belmont Glavin Harbo. Rosen. ORIGINAL TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Cover memo Belmont to 5-19-55 SJP:fjb Boardman Tracy_ S. J. PAPICH:fjb Mohr. Winterrowd. Tele, Room. (10) Holloman, Miss Gandy_

Office Memorandum UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Tòlson Mr. Tolson DATE: May 18, 1955 INFORMATION CONTAINED FROM L. B. Nichol Parsons SUBTECT: CIA SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON ORGANIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY-OF-CIA-BY GENERAL MARK W.-CLARK I am transmitting herewith a memorandum setting forth information secured from a confidential source Since we had previously made name checks for General Mark Clark and since he does not know the nature of the allegations pertaining to the individuals in whom he has manifested an interest, their connection with projects which reflect unfavorably upon CIA, etc., it is my recommendation that the highlights of the allegations be orally conveyed to General Clark, without furnishing any information outside the Bureau on the names set forth in my memo as potential leads and possible sources of information should an investigation be ultimately ordered. 9 aaree, when clanke is: neigh in wash I also feel that the nature of the allegations should be furnished to the Attorney General and Rogers in the same memorandum which advises them that Mark Clark has requested us to hold up our investigation. Mr. Boardman Mr. Belmont LBN:MM (4) 11 JUL 26 1955 Attachment

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Office Memorandum • United States Government Mr. Tolson Virginia TO DATE: May 17, 1955 Nichols Belmont Harbo L. B. Nichols FROM Sizoo Winterrowd _ CIA SURVEY BY THE COMMISSION ON SUBJECT: Tele. Room ORGANIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE Gandy . BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT SURVEY OF CIA BY GENERAL MARK W. CLARK General Christiansen furnished to the Bureau a list of thirteen names with the request that they be investigated for the purpose of determining the individuals' character, reputation and loyalty. According to Mr. Belmont's memorandum of May 13, 1955, the names furnished by General Christiansen were names furnished to General Clark by Senator Joseph McCarthy. I have ascertained collaterally that only seven of the names on the list furnished by Christiansen were furnished by Senator McCarthy. The following is a list of the names furnished to General Clark by Senator McCarthy which are being set forth for possible future reference. Min to AG+ Carena 5-19-55 SJB 368-692 ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED In an effort to secure identifying information and background data, I have contacted a source who allegedly is in a position to have information on the names and the following is being set forth for identification purposes along with available information pertaining to the individuals and their activities on which some question has been raised and which would certainly bear upon the cc: Mr. Boardman RECORDED-41 Mr. Belmont **27** JUL 26 1955 Mr. Rosen INDEXED-39 LBN:arm 6 AUG 3

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson from L. B. Nichols

ascertaining of character, reputation and loyalty. The data was supplied purposes only with the understanding that the source of the information of the Bureau. For the balance of this since the information came from one source, it will be attributed so informant, as "the source."	mation memoràndum
	b3 b7[
<u>-`</u>	5
• •	

May 20, 1955 Conteral Intelligence Agency on 5/16/55

Don Surine made available/data on several individuals who had been the subject of a request for an investigation by General Mark Clark. is to record that the material originally furnished me has been returned to Surine under date of May 20, 1955, and the attached copies were loaned me with the understanding that the contents would be utilized for lead purposes only. Pertinent details have been set forth in a paraphrased memorandum dated May 17, 1955. On May 20, I informed Surine that we had gone back to Mark Clark, pointed out the investigation of the individuals would eventually lead to projects and numerous other things and we wanted General Clark to know exactly what was involved; that General Clark had informed us he had not anticipated this development, that we should hold up on the investigations.

I told Surine I did not know what situation would develop. told me that Senator McCarthy had not heard from Mark Clark since he gave him the names, that the Senator in due time was going to follow up and see what Clark had done with the names.

L. B. Nichols

LBN:MM (no copies)

I ENCLOSURE

368-692 **ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED** HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED BY 6000100 18904 HONOGA

> 62-80750-2619X MAR 11 1958

